AD-757 354

SYMMETRIC SIMPLE GAMES

Robert James Weber

Cornell University

Prepared for:

Office of Naval Research

February 1973

DISTRIBUTED BY:



National Technical Information Service U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield Va. 22151

DEPARTMENT OF OPERATIONS RESEARCH

Peprioduced by
NATIONAL TECHNICAL
INFORMATION SERVICE
ITS Lepartment of Commerce
Springfield VA 22151



COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING CORNELL UNIVERSITY ITHACA, NEW YORK 14850





DOCUMENT COM-			ovall or at to the educati			
OHIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		2a. BLFORT SECULISTICATION				
Cornell University		UNCLASSIFIED				
Department of Operations Research Ithaca, New York 14850		SP CHOUP				
I REPORT TITLE						
SYMMETRIC SIMPLE GAMES						
4 DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Technical Report 5 AUTHORIS (First name, middle initial, last name)						
Robert J. Weber						
6 REPORT DATE	Ta. TOTAL 1.0 0	F FAGES	16. NO OF REFS			
February 1973	88		5			
M. CONTHACT OR GRANT NO	9a. ORIGINA TORTS	S REPORT NUMB	E A(5)			
N00014-67-A-0077-0014 b. Project no	Technical	Technical Report No. 173				
с.	this report)	RT NO.5) (Any of	her numbers that may be assigned			
d.						
10 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT		-				
This document has been approved for publiunlimited.						
II SUPFLEMENTARY NO TES	12 SPONSORING		i			
	Operations Research Program Office of Naval Research Arlington, Virginia 22217					
13 ABSTHACT	1 Ariington,	virginia	22217			
A symmetric simple game is an n-person gathe coalitions of at least k players. in which (n-k) players are dis games.	All von Neuma	ınn-Morgens	tern stable sets,			
			•••			

DD FORM 1473 (PAGE 1) S/N 0101-807-6811

UNCLASSIFIED Security Classification

- Security Classifi	KEY WORDS	LIN	LINKA		LINK B		LINK	
		HOLL	wt	ROLE	₩ 1	ROLL	w 1	
	•							
		Į.			j			
	game theory					1	1	
	cooperative games							
	characteristic function games						ł	
	simple games				<u> </u>	}	1	
	symmetric games				Í			
	majority games						1	
	stable sets							
	von Neumann-Morgenstern solutions					ļ		
	discriminatory solutions					1		
			11					
					ĺ			
						1		
			11		l			
						ł	İ	
			1			ľ		
			1.					
]		
			1					
		i						
		j						
		Ì						
						f f		
_		1 :						
				j		:		
	·							
	II					•		

DD FORM 1473 (BACK)

DEPARTMENT OF OPERATIONS RESEARCH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING CORNELL UNIVERSITY ITHACA, NEW YORK

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 173

February 1973

SYMMETRIC SIMPLE GAMES

by

Robert James Weber



This research was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research under Contract Number N00014-67-A-0077-0014 and by the National Science Foundation under Grants GK 29838 and GP 32314x.

Reproduction in Whole or in Part is Permitted for any Purpose of the United States Government.

A question of interest in the study of n-person games concerns conditions under which a set of players of a game may face discrimination which, in a sense, excludes them from the bargaining process. In [2, 4, 5], discriminatory solutions are given for several classes of games. In this paper, a characterization is given of all discriminatory solutions for n-person games in which all coalitions with at least k players win, and all coalitions with less than k players lose. The symmetric solutions of these games were given in [1] for the case $k > \frac{n}{2}$.

An n-person game is a function v from the coalitions (subsets) of a set of players $N = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ to the reals satisfying

$$v(\emptyset) = v(\{i\}) = 0$$
 for all $i \in N$

$$0 \le v(S) \le 1$$
 for all $S \subseteq N$

$$v(N) = 1$$
.

It is assumed throughout that $n \ge 3$. For any real vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$, define $x(S) = \sum_{i \in S} x_i$ and define x^S as the restriction of x to the coordinates in S. Let

$$X = \{x \in R^n : x(N) = 1, x_i \ge 0 \text{ for all } i \in N\}$$
.

If $x,y \in X$, then $y = \frac{\text{dominates}}{\text{dominates}} \times \text{with respect to a non-empty coalition } S$, written $y = \frac{\text{dom}_S x}{\text{sol}}$, if $y^S > x^S$ and $y(S) \le v(S)$. For $A \subseteq X$, define

dom A =
$$\{x \in X: y \text{ dom}_S x \text{ for some } S \subseteq N \text{ , } y \in A\}$$
.

A solution, or von Neumann-Morgenstein stable set, is any set $K \subseteq X$ satisfying

$$K \cup dom K = X$$
, (1)

$$K \cap \text{dom } K = \emptyset . \tag{2}$$

Any set K satisfying (1) is said to be externally stable; any set satisfying (2) is internally stable. Motivation for these definitions is given in [3].

A symmetric simple game, or (n,k)-game, is an n-person game satisfying

$$v(S) = 0 \quad if \quad |S| < k$$

$$v(S) = 1 \quad if \quad |S| \ge k,$$

where |S| denotes the number of players in the coalition S. Clearly domination in this game can occur only with respect to coalitions of at least k players. A p-discriminatory solution is a solution

$$D(\alpha_{\underline{i}_1}, \dots, \alpha_{\underline{i}_{\underline{i}}; \underline{i}_1}, \dots, \underline{i}_{\underline{p}}) = \{x \in X : x_{\underline{i}_k} = \alpha_{\underline{i}_k} \text{ for all } 1 \leq k \leq \underline{p}\}.$$

The main result of this paper is a characterization of all m-discriminatory solutions for the (n,n-m)-game.

For $m < \frac{n}{2}$, let $M \subseteq N$ be a set of m players, and let P = N-M. Also let α be a non-negative m-vector, and write $K(\alpha)$ for $D(\alpha;M)$.

Theorem. K(a) is an m-discriminatory solution of the (n,n-m)-game if and only if

$$\alpha(M) + (n-m-1)\alpha_{i} < 1$$
 (3)

for all $i \in M$.

The proof follows a sequence of lemmas.

Lamma 1. For any α , $K(\alpha)$ is internally stable.

<u>Proof.</u> Assume on the contrary that $x,y \in K(\alpha)$ and $y \text{ dom}_S x$. Since $y^M = \alpha = x^M$ and $|S| \ge n-m$, it follows that S = P. However, y(M) = x(M) and y(N) = x(N) imply y(P) = x(P) and therefore $y_i \le x_i$ for some $i \in P$, a contradiction.

Lemma 2. Suppose $x \notin K(\alpha)$. Then $x \notin dom K(\alpha)$ if and only if

$$\alpha(M) + x(S \cap P) \ge 1 \text{ or } x^{S \cap M} \ne \alpha^{S \cap M}$$
 (4)

for all $S \subseteq N$ with |S| = n-m.

<u>Proof.</u> If $y \in K(\alpha)$ and $y \text{ dom}_{T} x$, then $|T| \ge n-m$. Take any $S \subseteq T$ with |S| = n-m. Then $y \text{ dom}_{S} x$, and $x = x \le n \le n$. Since 2m < n, $S \cap P \ne \emptyset$ and therefore

$$\alpha(N) + x(S \cap P) < y(M) + y(S \cap P) < 1$$
.

This establishes the sufficiency of (4). To establish necessity, assume that (4) fails for some S. Let

$$y_{i} = \alpha_{i}$$

$$i \in M$$

$$y_{i} = x_{i} + (1-\alpha(M)-x(S \cap P))/|S \cap P| \quad i \in S \cap P$$

$$y_{i} = 0 \quad i \in P - S.$$

Then $y \in K(\alpha)$, $y \text{ dom}_S x$ and therefore $x \in \text{dom } K(\alpha)$.

Lemma 3. Let $\tau(x) = \{i \in M | x_i \ge \alpha_i\}$. If $\tau(x) = M$, then $x \in K(\alpha) \cup dom K(\alpha)$. If $\tau(x) \ne M$, then $x \notin K(\alpha) \cup dom K(\alpha)$ if and only if

$$\alpha(M) + x(S \cap P) > 1$$
 (5)

for all $S \subseteq N$ with |S| = n-m and $\tau(x) \cap S = \emptyset$.

<u>Proof.</u> Assume $\tau(x) = M$, and let $\xi = x(M) - \alpha(M)$. If $\xi = 0$ then $x \in K(\alpha)$. If $\xi > 0$, let

$$y_i = \alpha_i$$
 $i \in M$

$$y_i = x_i + \epsilon/(n-m)$$
 $i \in P$.

Then $y \in K(\alpha)$ and $y \text{ dom}_p x$. The remainder of the lemma is simply a restatement of Lemma 2.

Lemma 4. There exists $x \notin K(\alpha) \cup \text{dom } K(\alpha)$ with $\tau(x) = T$ if and only if

$$\alpha(M) + |S \cap P| + (1-\alpha(T))/(n-m) \ge 1$$
 (6)

for all $S \subseteq N$ such that |S| = n-m and $S \cap T = \emptyset$.

Proof. For any $x \in X$, let

$$y_i = \alpha_i$$
 $i \in T$

$$y_i = 0$$
 $i \in M-T$

$$y_i = x_i + (x(M) - \alpha(T))/|P| \quad i \in P$$
.

If x satisfies (5), then y clearly also satisfies (5). Therefore by Lemma 3, there exists $x \notin K(\alpha) \cup \text{dom } K(\alpha)$ with $\tau(x) = T$ if and only if there exists some y such that

$$y(P) = 1-\alpha(T)$$

$$\alpha(M) + y(S \cap P) \ge 1$$
(7)

for all $S \subseteq N$ with |S| = n-m and $S \cap T = \emptyset$. By the symmetry of (7), such a y exists if and only if (7) is satisfied when

$$y_i = (1-a(T))/|P| i \in P$$
.

This establishes the lemma.

Proof of theorem. Observe that (6) is satisfied if and only if it is satisfied when $|S \cap P|$ is minimized, that is $|S \cap P| = n - 2m + |T|$. Therefore, in view of the preceding lemmas, $K(\alpha)$ is externally stable if and only if

$$\alpha(M) + (n-2m+t)(1-\alpha(T))/(n-m) < 1$$

for all $T \neq M$, where |T| = t. Replacing T with M-T, this condition is equivalent to

$$t \cdot \alpha(M) + (n-m-t)\alpha(T) < t$$
 (8)

for all $T \subseteq M$ with |T| = t > 0. For t = 1, this is exactly the condition (3) of the theorem. For t > 1, (3) implies

$$t \cdot \alpha(M) + (n-m-t)\alpha(T) < t \cdot \alpha(M) + t \cdot (n-m-1)\overline{\alpha} < t$$
,

where $\overline{\alpha} = \max_{i \in M} (\alpha_i)$. Thus (8) is equivalent to (3), completing the proof of the theorem.

Comments.

- 1. With slight modifications to the proof, the theorem may be shown to hold for all $0 \le m \le n-2$.
- 2. The *neorem characterizes all m-discriminatory solutions to the (n,n-m)-game. It is easily verified that the game has no k-discriminatory solutions for $k \neq m$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bott, "Symmetric Solutions to Majority Games", Annals of Mathematics Study No. 28 (Princeton, 1953), 319-323.
- [2] M. H. Hebert, "Doubly Discriminatory Solutions of Four-Person Constant-sum Games", Annals of Mathematics Study No. 52 (Princeton, 1964), 345-375.
- [3] J. von Neumann and O. Morgenstern, Theory of Games and Economic Behavior, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1944.
- [4] G. Owen, "n-Person Games with only 1, n-1, and n-Person Coalitions", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1968), 1258-1261.
- [5] R. J. Weber, "Discriminatory Solutions for [n,n-2]-Games", Technical Report No. 175, Cornell University, 1973.